

**UNIDAD EDUCATIVA PARTICULAR JAVIER  
BACHILLERATO EN CIENCIAS**

**MONOGRAPH**

**“Holocaust denial revision. Analysis of nazi policies about jews and demographic  
review on twentieth century.”**

**STUDENT:**

**Juan Martín Jesús Parra Gutiérrez**

**ADVISER: Lcda. Glenda Torres**

**THIRD OF BACCALAUREATE - COURSE B**

**2017 – 2018**



JESUITAS  
ECUADOR



**FLACSI**  
Federación Latinoamericana de Colegios de la Compañía de Jesús



Av del Bombero Km. 5½ Vía a la Costa - Teléfono: (593) 4-2003520  
Nivel Inicial 200-4309 - Ed. Básica 200-4160 - Bachillerato 200-1221  
E-mail: info@uejavier.com - Código Postal: 09-01-4849

 @uejavierEC | [www.uejavier.com](http://www.uejavier.com)

## Acknowledgement

I sincerely thank my tutor ,Glenda Torres, for being a great help in the structure of my work and Laura Ortuño who has been the best teacher i have ever had.

I would also like to thank my role model, Cristiano Ronaldo, for being an important source of motivation for me all along my life.

And specially I would like to thank God for giving me the chance to say what I think and not to be judged, because what I appreciate the most is my freedom.

## Summary

The holocaust denial is a “conspiracy” theory that denies that the mass murder of Jews was a Nazi policy and maintains that although there were murders and massacres, it was not in the magnitude and conditions in which it is spoken. It is not a racist issue because it condemns all the discriminatory actions of the Nazis, but simply argues that it was not a state policy to get rid of the Jewish race by firing squad or poisoning in gas chambers. This theory gives you another perspective of the events that occurred during the Second World War and opens your mind, if you allow it, to another way of interpreting history having the same outcome and judgment before such acts of abuse and hatred but seeing that it was not as they say and resembles any other case of discrimination that has existed during any war. We review several testimonies that do not fit together and present ambiguities, we analyze the social context in which everything occurred and we deny false evidence from biochemistry to statistics and from the manipulation of images to the authenticity of the diary of Anne Frank.



## Index

	page
Greetings.....	ii
Summary.....	iii
Introduction.....	1
Chapter 1: Similar situations.....	3
Chapter 2: Denial of evidence.....	8
Chapter 3: Demographic statistics.....	17
Chapter 4: Why did they lie?.....	19
Conclusions.....	22
Recommendations.....	23
References.....	25

## Introduction

This monographic work is an analysis of the Holocaust denial , Nazi policies towards Jews, and demographic evidence about the Jewish population during the 20<sup>th</sup> century. This issue is both very important and sensitive because it deals with the possible death of 6 million people and the greatest example of human brutality.

This monograph is not intended to show that the Holocaust did not exist, nor does it seek to change history, nor to ensure that the crimes did not occur, it definitely does not defend any kind of violence or racial persecution. It doesn't want to offend or hurt anyone, that's not the intention. The only thing the author wants to do is to investigate and make known some thoughts or evidence that are different from those we have always been taught. Normally, an attempt is made to avoid this type of subject because of the controversy it provokes and the legal consequences that can be unleashed, but if it is researched and written with respect, giving the reader complete freedom of thought, there would be nothing wrong with it, and the author's intention would not be judged.

The reason why the author has chosen this theme is not the one that many people believe when they say that the only thing the author wants is to draw attention to it. This was the subject of his preference because it is something he had never heard until recently, and he felt that in these times there are many things that are hidden and preferred not to talk about



and that is what allows society to doubt, because by fleeing from an issue, the subconscious betrays the fragile and vulnerable human mind, so, without wishing to offend anyone or to guarantee or proclaim one truth or another, the author set out to write on this subject so that people who is interested history and conspiracy theories could acquire another point of view of things.

Several books have been written on this subject, but they are not very accessible because no publisher wants to allow this type of text and they can generate a rejection of your company. Holocaust denial is a conspiracy theory that denies the massive and predisposed extermination or murder of Jewish people during World War II. People who believe in this theory do not welcome nor tolerate racism nor discrimination, in fact, they condemn Nazi treatment of Jews, they condemn anti-Semitic policies or laws, and they repudiate Nazi actions against this race. What they assert or pretend to defend is that the Final Solution had as its sole purpose the capture of the Jews and their expulsion from Europe, recognizing that this is an act of irrationality that must be punished; but they deny the orders to exterminate the Jewish race and the use of gas chambers as a lethal weapon, and the officially given and accepted number of 6 million dead Jews.

## CHAPTER 1

### Similar situations

#### 1. USA. Justifying the war

Our words have so much power and yet none. In this life we have the facility to say whatever as we want, even if we are declaring fallacies or lies that we want to take advantage of. Many things can be said, and as much as desired and it won't matter because words have no power. A person can say that he could fly or is able to communicate with cows, but if there's no prove of it, no one will believe him.. We are always required to set an example of what we say, to corroborate our words with our own actions, and even as a society we have reached a very positive point where if you do not support with evidence the things you say, you can be accused and sanctioned by the law.

All these changes taken place and people can send hurtful messages, knowing each other weaknesses and sorrows; they know what to say, play with your pain, fear and feeling of the people, especially when this person has a position that gives him/her a great power over the masses and becomes a reliable and licensed voice. "The strength of words is such that it is not necessary to use a lot of words to cause deep joy or deep sadness. Many times a sentence that validates an emotion we feel or a short paragraph that attacks our weakest point is enough" (Nuñez, 2016, p.6). But, why did I mention all of this?

In 2003, the United States military forces invaded Iraq and dethroned the government of Haddam Hussein but it lasted for almost 11 years. The reason of this attack was a declared war from the government of the former president George Bush against international terrorism, as a reaction plan following the events occurred on September 11<sup>th</sup>, 2001. The



other main reason of this invasion was that the United States carried out some inspections with the help of the International Atomic Energy Agency, and this inspections led them to ensure that the Iraqi government was working with weapons of mass destruction. The striking fact about this, is that it has never been possible to prove that the Iraq government had been working with these weapons, nor it has been possible to prove its links with Al-Qaeda or any other terrorist group. But even so, this invasion was carried out leaving more than 100,000 civilians dead and a total of 125,000 demises.

According to Morford (2008), "We have found 935 false statements made by Bush and seven other top officials at his Government"(p. 44). We are talking about almost 1000 false claims and I take the liberty of naming them lies because all facts that are not proven are simply not true. And how does the world believe all these lies? Why is this idea supported by the majority if it was never proven to be real? Simply because Bush and the rest of top officials of the time in the United States touched the sore spot. They used the fear of the people in their favor to take advantage of it. We have to contextualize ourselves a little and remember what had happened just two years ago. On September 11, 2001, the largest and most significant terrorist attack in history occurred when a total of 4 airline planes were hijacked by the Al-Qaeda terrorist group and crashed in different areas of New York, leaving 3,016 dead. This tragedy obviously shocked the world and achieved the first objective of terrorism, which is to cause fear in people and keep them off the streets, fear for their families and change their lifestyle by making them limited, as Sanchez said (2018)," terrorism seeks to create a psychological impact, beyond the immediate victims of it, as well as to generate instability from the media point of view, generating anxiety and



fear in people in order to keep them on their toes, thus achieving its objective of terror” (p. 155).

The United States has always sought ways to gain more power in an ambitious manner by trampling on other nations. Several times he has done so by hiding his interests behind false justifications in which he claims to seek world peace and the well-being of citizens. A perfect example of their intervention, is when Vietnam was going to be unified as a communist and socialist state, because they did not believe in this system of government and wanted to impose their democracy, but behind this excuse for meddling in this situation, there was a main and real interest, which was the simple fact of standing up to the USSR by exposing its great power and influence. They wanted to mark the points with the Soviet Union and show that they also had a presence in the East. This little children's game that the United States started, putting its ego above reason and peace in general, left no less than an estimated 5.7 million dead, and finally retired without having fully achieving its goal. These are just some examples mentioned here, here are other wars that the United States fabricated with the only intention of gaining some sort of profit.

It was then that George Bush, the president of the United States, made up this story of the Iraqi government of Saddam Hussein's failure to comply with the anti-peace rules, accusing him of carrying out tests and even attacks with nuclear weapons. This, no doubt, generated some fear in the citizens of the United States and the world, but then came the accusation that this fear would turn into contempt, hatred and rejection, by affirming Iraq's ties and cooperation with al-Qaida, who were responsible for the attacks that had occurred previously. This initiative by George Bush made Iraq the common enemy and scapegoat of all that was happening in the world.



The real purpose of this U. S. intervention was to take control of the oil produced in Iraq, I don't understand this part and the fourth largest producer of this hydrocarbon. This was the real reason for the attack, to gain economic profit that would solve his financial problems of the time but the other reason is even darker and is that he wanted to have absolute control of this area in the Middle East to strengthen his presence in the affairs of that locality and establish a government that would respond to its benefits, which he could not do and ended up leaving the Iraqi people in complete uncertainty with a broken state. From another point of view, we can audit these actions from United States without being too rigorous and just seeing it as an intelligence mistake as Snowden said (2016), " The Iraq war that I signed up for was launched on false premises. The American people were misled. Now, whether that was due to bad faith or simply mistakes in intelligence, I can't say for sure. But I can say it shows the problem of putting too much faith in intelligence systems without debating them in public" (p. 243). Finally, in 2009, President Barack Obama gave the order to withdraw all US troops from Iraq, an action that ended in 2011. And just like that, everything ended without a purpose or without a benefit, just leaving suffering and pain.

6



## CHAPTER 2

### Denial of evidence

#### 2. Allies' affirmations

##### 2.1 Extermination plans.

###### 2.1.1 *The great solution.*

At the age of 30, Adolf Hitler was beginning to emerge as a different and transcendental political opinion. In 1919, he wrote his first political document and it would be nothing more and nothing less than a racist writing proposing a solution to what he considered to be the greatest problem in Europe and it was the presence of the Jewish population, who would say that this document that went almost unnoticed would be the basis of a world war in just 20 years.

One of the Allies' tests that claims to confirm the Nazi party's plans to exterminate the Jewish race is what is known as the Final Solution. This plan sought the systematic elimination of Jews from the European continent. It is claimed and it is true that this plan was based on exterminating the Jewish race by killing them in gas chambers or massacres with firearms, but there is no evidence that this plan has dictated these actions.

It is all the result of an involuntary function that all human beings have in common, which is to relate things or facts unnecessarily true in order to find solutions or answers

looking for patterns that lead us to believe in connections between events that are not necessarily related. This can be reflected in various aspects of our daily lives, such as when something brings us good luck and then we always do it to continue to benefit, such as when we have a talisman that supposedly brings us luck, when we see faces drawn in nature without them actually being there or when we say that if a player touches the trophy in a final they will not win it. Many times things happen and we believe in these patterns and we come to assure these relationships that only follow the flow of our instinct, our hunches or the desperate fact of finding answers and finding the truth.

And that is how we have believed for so long that this final solution decreed the murder of a race, but it is not necessarily real.

In 1939, Adolf Eichmann presented The Madagascar Plan, which consisted of embarking the Jewish population on ships and sending them to the French-owned island of Madagascar. It was a very clear and structured plan in which it would be agreed with France to hand over this territory and its sovereignty to Germany in exchange for the relocation of 25,000 French citizens. The transportation, settlement and logistics costs of the move had been calculated, and even Jewish residents were expected to govern themselves on the island, obviously under the supervision of a military government.



Finally, in 1942, the Wannsee conference was held in Berlin, which ruled that this plan could not be carried out because Petain, former president of France, did not want to cede the island to the German Republic and because those sea routes were controlled by the British government. Instead, it was concluded that the solution was to expel the Jews from German territory, pushing them towards Eastern Europe. But what we have of secure information is just this. Nowhere is there an order for the extermination of the Jewish race, in fact it was found that during the following days the extermination camps did not receive any calls or any decree to prepare gas chambers or firing sites or anything involving the adjustment of an area where Jews would be eliminated.

Obviously, this was not right and it is an act of discrimination and racism that I am not defending, even I condemn all kinds of xenophobic segregation and harassment, but I also do not tolerate the imposition of truths that are neither absolute nor substantiated. So, in conclusion, I condemn these acts of racism but I only dare to denounce what was totally proven.

### ***2.1.2 Genocide or ancient persecution.***

There is one fact that cannot be denied, and that is that there was unprecedented racial persecution, where a lot of people were stripped of their rights and property for no reason more sensible than hatred of the ideas of others. There is a very hackneyed discourse,

which is that of tolerance and acceptance of other cultures, but although we are tired of hearing and repeating it, we are not able to achieve the main objective of peace and harmony between countries, religions, beliefs, customs and many other things. This is just one clear example of what we do not want to see happen again as a society.

#### *2.1.2.1 Jewish arrest.*

It was then that in 1938 Germany and Austria annexed and gave way to the deportation of Jews. The plan was based on systematically pushing them eastwards. The first place where this policy was implemented was in Vienna, the capital of Austria. So this began to spread throughout Germany to the point where the movement of so many Jews was hindered and they were locked up in the concentration camps.

And this is where we come up with another big lie. In every war there are concentration camps, but they want us to believe that the Nazis were an absolute inhuman barbarity never seen before, while in that same war, from 1942 to 1948, concentration camps were also built in the United States for Japanese people living in the country, this confinement also violated the human rights of other innocent people who were deprived of their lives and their freedom for only racial damage, even perpetuating dejections and murders in these camps, but no one talks about it. Pacheco affirms this: “We are all hypocrites. We cannot see ourselves or judge ourselves the way we see and judge others” (Pacheco, 1977, p.125).

#### *2.1.2.2 Mistreatment and abuse to retained Jews*



History defines the concentration camps as an area predisposed to the extermination of the Jewish race by firing squads and the use of gas chambers. As I mentioned earlier, it was not the first or the last time that a race was held in inhumane conditions. This has happened many times throughout history and there has been no major uproar. I cannot deny the deaths that occurred during this period of war in these camps, because it is irrefutable that there was hatred and mistreatment during these deprivations of liberty. Jews died, unjustly, but not under the causes and conditions that we are told, nor under those Machiavellian procedures that are affirmed, but as in any war, the order to perform forced labor was imposed on those arrested and this generated many casualties when considering that the majority of those detained were elderly people and suffered injuries in their work period. Another factor that generated many deaths was the poor living conditions in these camps, more than a thousand deaths were caused by diseases due to infections in the food they were given and also diseases that were created and spread quickly among the inmates as there was not enough space to stop the spread of diseases such as Tularemia and typhoid fever.

Somehow, the Allies could even be blamed for the deaths of several Jews in these holding camps because the bombing in Nazi Germany often targeted the busiest roads, which hindered the transport of food and medicine, and because of the difficulty of transporting them, the Nazi soldiers obviously chose to distribute mainly all these supplies to their people. Not to mention the fastest and most effective holocaust that was caused by the Allies themselves when in less than 4 hours more than 300,000 innocent people died in Dresden, a hospital city, a white city, a pure and unarmed city, a city outside the limits of



war and even a land of refugees, by a series of ruthless bombings by Britain and the United States. It is estimated that over 50,000 Jews died in this attack.

As Ross said:

"Dresden, Germany's seventh largest city and not much smaller than Manchester, is also the enemy's largest undeveloped, undeveloped area for bombing. In the middle of winter, with refugees moving en masse westward and troops in need of rest, roofs are scarce, not only to shelter workers, refugees and troops alike, but also to house administrative services that have moved from other areas. Once famous for its porcelains, Dresden has now become a major industrial city. [. . .] The intentions of the attack are to strike the enemy where he feels it most, at the rear of a front that is about to crumble [. . .] and to teach the Russians when they arrive what the RAF Bomber Command is capable of.

(Ross, Strategic Bombing by the United States in World War II, McFarland 2003, p. 180.

And Longmate, The Bombers, Hutchins 1983, p. 333).

Another cause of death in these centers, which may be the closest to what we are told about the systematic extermination of the Jewish race, was that as in any war some detainees were killed either for not wanting to do a job that had been ordered as well as the simple hatred that a soldier can feel for his prisoners.



## 2.2 Gas chambers.

The method of murder that has been blamed for the most deaths during the Holocaust, being a symbol of horror, cruelty, monstrosity and brutality, is poisoning by gas chambers. By using this argument so much to explain what was alleged mass genocide, with it as the basis for a stratospheric and significant statement, it should be the most documented and verifiable fact, but it is not.

Jews were supposedly killed by the release of toxic compounds such as carbon monoxide and Zyklon B, but it has never been proven or proven that these chemicals were found in the alleged showers, which have been extensively tested for any kind of gas. A sum of 50,000 dollars was even offered as a reward to anyone who found any evidence, but there was nothing. In 1998, engineer Fred Lauchter, who was a specialist in execution equipment in the United States, was taken to Auschwitz, where not only chemical tests were carried out but also an evaluation of the design and possible manufacture of gas chambers and it was found that it was absolutely impossible for any of the concentration camp rooms to have been used as a gassing extermination camp.

It was also shown that when the Soviets took over Auschwitz, they enlarged the simple buildings of the concentration camp and made them look like large buildings of torture and extermination. In the Majdanek camp, the only evidence of the existence of the gas chambers was found in Zyklon B, which was justified and explained by the same Jew who had been held back during the war by stating that: " The Zyklon B was the new formula



used to disinfect clothing, it destroyed the bugs without damaging the fabric. It is completely absurd to attach such importance as proof to this" ( Burg, 1988, p. 225).

Since it was impossible to physically prove that there were gas chambers, it was decided to confirm their existence through the confessions of the alleged surviving witnesses where it is very easy to realize that they were people that the Allies put there to give a false or manipulated testimony, because it is surprisingly suspicious that none of the testimonies coincide with those of another witness and when the statements were analyzed there are many gaps, there are many gaps when comparing the different assertions made by those involved, making even the judges doubt, but speaking of such a delicate and painful subject, its failure is understood.

Finally, the proof that everyone is hanging on to is the confession of Rudolf Hoss in the Nuremberg trials. On March 11, 1946, the arrested commander Rudolf Hoss, among many other things, assured that more than 2. 5 million people were murdered in Auschwitz. We began by finding fallacies based on the fact that the Allies themselves confirm today that less than 1 million people died in Auschwitz, and by simple mathematics the commander's statements fall down when he said that 16,000 people were killed a day in this camp, this would mean 13 million casualties at the end of the war, impossible. Then, in 1958, a book written by Rudolf himself came to light in which he clarifies:

"I was arrested on 11 March 1946 at 11 p. m. La Field.

I was mistreated by Security Police. I was dragged to



Heide, the very barracks where eight months earlier it had been released by the English. It is here that my first interrogation, for which strong arguments were used.

I was unaware of the contents of the statement. Both the alcohol they made me drink like whips, they were too much.

Even for me. A few days later I was taken to Minden-south-Weswe, the main interrogation centre in the British area. Over there, I was treated even worse, in front of a fiscal, a commander".

( Hoss, 1946, p. 117)

Also in his book, he explains that he was forced to drink alcohol, was whipped, was dragged naked on snow in a strong winter, and had chopsticks put in his eyelids to keep him awake. In addition, he received threats where he was told that if he did not give this false speech, his wife would be killed by a Russian platoon and his son would be tortured and then killed in Siberia. Faced with a hell like this and knowing that you would be sentenced to death, anyone would have taken the blame and admitted false accusations against him and his army.

## CHAPTER III

### Demographic statistics

#### 3. Did six million really die?

When someone speaks about the Holocaust, we are used to hear the number of six million Jews who lost their lives in it. Holocaust denial, as I have already stressed several times, does not deny the injustices committed or the murder of a large number of Jews, but rather qualifies the fact that mass genocide was never a policy of the Nazi government. One of the most contested statements by the deniers is this unclear figure of six million and it has become the easiest to deny.

The World Almanac presents a demographic study of the world's population according to their religious inclinations and is approved by the American Jewish Committee (AJC). According to their numbers endorsed by the world population and many statistical institutions, in 1933 there were a total of 15,315,359 Jews in the world. When we take into account the frivolous but certain argument that for every person who dies another two are born, we could evidence an obvious growth of the population in any delimited space that we want to observe or study. But if we are talking about the death of six million Jews during the Holocaust, which is a very important figure since it constitutes almost half of those that existed until then, there should have been a strong negative balance or downward inclination in the count of Jewish people already after the war. But it wasn't like that. When



in 1945 the Jewish World Almanac presents an update of its census, it shows that there were 15,753,638 Jews living in the world, that is, after the war and the alleged massacre of six million people, the Jewish population increased by almost half a million, while another religion such as the Mohammedans had lost almost 7 million people. And all this, without taking into account the large number of Jews who fled to other countries in a furtive manner, that is, that it was not a migration contemplated by the government or the census systems. As Harwood makes clear:

. . . the neutral Swiss publication Baseler Nachrichten established, on the basis of available Jewish statistics, that between 1933 and 1945 1.5 million Jews emigrated to Great Britain, Switzerland, Spain, Portugal, Australia, China, India, Palestine and the United States. This is confirmed by the Jewish journalist Bruno Blau, who quotes the same figure in the New York Jewish newspaper, Aufbau.

(Harwood, 1976, p. 287)

As if that were not enough, in 1984 a document or rather a detailed account came to light, in which the Red Cross counted a total of 373,468 Jews killed during the war, even specifying their place, which was another fundamental proof that would refute the figure of six million.

## CHAPTER IV

### Why did they lie?

#### 4. Great benefit for The Allies and the Jews.

In the middle of a war you always look for more allies than enemies and it is something that The Allies knew how to interpret very well. We already know that The Allies were a side of the war made up mainly of France, Poland, the United Kingdom, the United States and China. But then, they were supported by an important number of countries among which were: Yugoslavia, Greece, Netherlands, Belgium Luxembourg, Norway, Czechoslovakia, India, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, Ethiopia, Brazil, Mexico, Philippines, Nicaragua, Panama, El Salvador and Costa Rica. The number of countries that made up The Allies was now very large and this was due to the contempt generated by this holocaust issue. The Allies very intelligently brought the Nazi regime's mistreatment of the Jews to a new level, inflating it to such an extent that it was the greatest genocide in history, fueling this fable with anecdotes of cruelty that supposedly occurred in the concentration camps. This generated contempt for the entire world population, leaving the Nazis as the scapegoat.

Why would the Jewish population allow this lie? It's very simple. Just as when you lose a family member or friend, you are excluded from all criticism or trouble to respect your grief, Jews have been taken away from all social criticism and have been paid more than a



billion dollars by Germany and their grief has been an effective instrument for raising funds to help the State of Israel.

Over the years we have seen a lot of Hollywood blockbusters about the holocaust and everything that this supposedly involves, which gives us the freedom to calculate the millions of dollars that the film industry has obtained from this issue, and As you already know, if the films are sold, those who direct and win those who pay and invest in their production win and this generates work, that is, we all win. Something similar is what happens with the making of books about the holocaust, which highlights one more than the rest and this is The Diary of Anna Frank.

The writer Fabian Vásquez makes an interesting reflection on this book:

“ The Diary of Anne Frank is the most sensitive point of what constitutes a real "pity industry", which revolves around the myth of the "holocaust". The contrast between the innocent and childish image of the protagonist and her intrinsically perverse captors has made this work not only a world best-seller (with countless editions, translations, theatricalisations and film adaptations), but also another wall of lamentation, where any refutation of the veracity of the "holocaust" is answered with a well-studied campaign of hysteria and hipersensibilty”

(Vásquez, 2009, p.15)

This diary is for the great majority the main and irrefutable proof that the Holocaust existed and for many it is the way in which an interesting subject came up, reading this sad story and getting attached to the characters, especially to Anna, the author of the book, accompanying her to its sad end. Well, this diary is made up of supposedly loose sheets that were delivered after the war to the girl's uncle, Otto Frank. This man, in his lifetime, never let the texts be tested for authenticity, but in 1980 these tests were carried out and it was ruled that the texts had been written with the pen and the pen was only invented in 1951, seven years after Anne Frank's death.

In addition, it was found that the documents were written with only one calligraphy, which shows that there was no fraud in the revisions of the book and that nobody repaired the words with a pen, leading us to the conclusion that Anne Frank was not the one who wrote this book. And if that wasn't enough, letters sent by the girl and her supposed writings were compared, and the difference in calligraphy could be contrasted. All of this without even mentioning the large number of inconsistencies and ambiguities between the story and the space in which it is supposed to unfold. Anna Frank certainly did not write her supposed diary, that is to say that we are lying and that it is something so true and that it awakens sensitivity, which is the need to deceive people.



So, if people can doubt about the existence of God, having official and untouchable books as The Bible, Why can't I doubt The Holocaust without being accused of anti-Semitism and committing a crime?

### Conclusions

At the end of this monograph I have come to a few conclusions:

- I have strengthened my knowledge on this subject by reading informative documents and analyzing them.
- I feel confident in the position I take with regard to the Holocaust denial.
- I reaffirm that there were repugnant events full of injustices and the spilling of innocent blood.
- Six million Jews didn't die.
- There were no gas chambers.
- There was no state order or policy aimed at extinguishing the Jewish race, instead of that, the nazis retained jews, just to expulse them out of Europe.

## Recommendations

I had a big list of topics to choose but I decided to write about this one because I don't like to be shut by others and I don't like it when the system wants us to ignore things and be deaf to other opinions, I just don't like to be submissive to a situation and have the freedom to investigate and give my opinion taken away. I feel a great helplessness when the great majority prefers to follow the path of fulfilling their role to the letter and before any order from above they rule over it without using common sense and entering into the beauty of curiosity.

The freedom of expression does not exist. What exists is demagogy and the double yardstick. I'm not saying this because of the silence that governments impose on you, causing you fear by threatening your life, but because there is no middle ground that defines what can be said and what cannot be said, because freedom of expression is what it says, being free to say what you want, but this is not possible because many times what I say can offend others and would generate a sanction for me. That's why I don't like to use the term "freedom of expression", because it simply doesn't exist and it's better that way. But what I fight for is the idea to create a space for dialogue that is free of the rules that exist in our society, that is free of our emotions, a space where everyone forgets their positions and is not offended by what they think. And I believe that this space can be created by the sender of a message by making it clear beforehand that it is not intended to outrage or hurt anyone; I believe that with a little warning and a warning that things will be



talked about that can generate offenses, that go beyond our invisible limits of common sense and that the parameters of what is politically correct will be forgotten in order to give different ideas and be able to see history in a different way, henceforth the person who reads or dialogues in this space is completely responsible for what he or she is exposed to deal with. And it seems to me that this unique space can be given in a debate or a small talk, but essentially in the creation of a book, so I'm angry to see that its illegal and its punished to write about something. Could it be that you are so scared of the truth?

So, my recommendation is to create this space in our society and in our schools, to give us alternative information, to give us a catalogue of ideas that we can absorb and build our own judgment, being free to believe and free to create.

Let's take risks, let's deal with these issues, let's go where no one wants to go, let's discover new doors. Don't take no one as crazy.

So, my recommendations would be:

- Create a debate class
- To respect every doubt about history
- Not to hide theories
- Don't punish any controversial thinking

## References

( Hoss, 1946, p. 117) ) The memoirs of Hoess

(Burg, 1988, p.225) The false news trial of Zundel

(Harwood, 1976, p. 287) The holocaust hoax

(Morford,2008, p.3) The 935 lies of George W. Bush

(Nuñez, 2016, p.6). Psychoanalytical Psychologies

(Ross, 1983) Strategic Bombing by the United States in World War II

(Sanchez, 2018, p.155) Domestic terrorism: The hidden side of political violence

(Snowden, 2016) Wargrams

(Vasquez, 2009, p.15) The greatest literary falsification of the twentieth century